

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

3 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

4 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)

5 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com

Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

6 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

7 San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

8 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 WAYMO LLC,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
16 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

17 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS OPPOSITION TO
UBER'S MOTION TO COMPEL
FURTHER DEPOSITION OF PIERRE-
YVES DROZ**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) respectfully requests to file under seal information in its Opposition to Uber’s Motion to Compel Further Deposition of Pierre-Yves Droz (“Waymo’s Opposition”). Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Portions of Exhibit 1 to Waymo’s Opposition	Portions highlighted in green	Waymo

I. LEGAL STANDARD

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing “establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law” (*i.e.*, is “sealable”). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also “be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material.” *Id.*

II. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO’S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of Exhibit 1 (portions highlighted in green) identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo’s trade secrets. *See* Cooper Dec., ¶ 3. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc’ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that “consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade’s trade secrets.”). Waymo seeks to seal trade secret information that fit squarely within these categories. Cooper Dec. ¶ 3. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (*see* Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (*see* Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). *Id.* Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. *Id.* In fact, both *Music Grp.* and *Brocade* found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened “compelling reasons” standard for sealing. *Music Grp.*, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; *Brocade*, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also

1 meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret information would harm
2 Waymo. Cooper Dec. ¶ 3. Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.

3 **III. CONCLUSION**

4 In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the
5 above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,
6 Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion.

7
8 DATED: August 9, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,
LLP

9
10 By /s/ Charles Verhoeven

Charles Verhoeven

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC